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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The objective of this work is to analyse performance of unstable mobile nodes with self-organization structures in Delay Tolerant Networks (DTN). This process enables the nodes to utilize their power fairly, and ensures that the links are established between nodes and used to improve the connectivity. In this paper two approaches are proposed: 1. Self-Healing (SH) and 2. Unstable Topology Structure (UTS) approaches based on localized computations. The proposed work is proven with simulations by analysing node degree, coverage area and Quality of Service (QoS) parameters. The performance of the work is analysed in a network simulator with mathematical models.
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\section{1. Introduction}

Topology structure is a technique used mainly in wireless DTN to reduce the initial topology \cite{1,2,3} of the network in order to save battery power, reduce interference and extend the lifetime of the network. The main goal is to reduce the number of active nodes and active links, preserving the resources for future maintenance in DTN. The necessity of power control \cite{4,5} arises for two reasons. First, it improves the battery life; second, it can impact on the traffic carrying capacity of the network. Unlike wired networks, each node in a wireless multi-path network can change its set of one-hop neighbours, and thereby the overall network topology, by simply changing its transmission and reception power. Without proper topology control algorithms, DTN suffer from poor network utilization and short network lifetime. In DTN, there are many possible routes to a destination, and the nodes use large transmission power to send packets to relatively remote sensor nodes. Many of these problems can be alleviated by topology control techniques; instead of using the possible connectivity \cite{6} of a network to its maximum possible extent, a deliberate choice is made to restrict the topology of the network. Topology control for DTN aims to achieve network-wide or session-specific objectives, such as reduced interference, reduced energy consumption, and increased network capacity, while maintaining network connectivity.

A topology control protocol should deal with all network dynamics and ensure that the network is connected with power efficient links. Many topology control algorithms like COMPOW \cite{7} (Common Power), \textit{k}-Neigh \cite{8} and cone-based topology control (CBTC) \cite{9} are used to maintain the power efficiently, but these approaches do not support self-organization structures during the movement of the nodes. In \textit{k}-Neigh, which assumes continuous transmission until it finds \textit{k}-Neighbours; there is no guarantee of connectivity. In the case of CBTC each node keeps growing the transmitting power until it finds a
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required neighbour or until the node reaches the maximum power. When considering COMPOW, it maintains a common power level, able to reach all nodes in the network. The performance of all these protocols is limited, considering the network dynamics. These approaches motivated us to develop a localized, asynchronous, neighbour-aware structure in wireless DTN that enables nodes to utilize their power fairly and efficiently.

1.1. Necessity of SH structure

Nodes able to form a tree structure are named as SH nodes (used for alternate routing). Once a node is identified as an SH node, it allows unidirectional data flow from leaf nodes (node attached with SH nodes or other than SH nodes/source/destination). This unidirectional flow is used to improve the network lifetime and reduce congestion. The necessity of power control is depicted in Fig. 1. Here nodes Nd1 and Nd3 need to send the packet to Nd2 and Nd4 respectively. Suppose maximum transmission power of each node is 25 mW. But here only 1 mW power is enough to transmit the packet from Nd1 and Nd3. Thus it can save on battery power. Secondly, in the same figure Nd3 wants to send packet to Nd4 at 1 mW, Nd1 send at 1 mW to Nd2, then both transmissions are received successfully since sender nodes use minimum transmission power to reach destination. If Nd1 broadcast at 25 mW, then interface on Nd4’s reception from Nd3 will be high, leading to the loss of packet. Thus disproportional use of one node’s power may result in the disfunctionality of other nodes. Thus power control also helps to enhance the traffic carrying capacity.

2. Related work

Alexisset al. [10], gives the survey on graph theory-based topology control methods. Each modelling follows one-hop connectivity and maximum node degree unbounded \((n - 1)\). Relative neighbourhood graph (RNG), has an edge \(uv\) if and only if \(||uv|| = 1\) and the intersection of two open disks centred at \(u; v\) with radius \(||uv||\) contains no node \(w\) element of \(V\). The basic idea of the practical topology control algorithm is that every node orders its neighbours (set of nodes in the maximum transmitting range) according to a criterion (e.g., link quality), every node transmits its order at maximum power, based on its own order, and, on the orders of its neighbours, every node determines the set of ‘logical’ links according to a simple rule. This type of topology control algorithm is given in [11–13], used to abandon long distance neighbours.

Blough et al. [8] proposed a \(k\)-Neigh protocol for symmetric topology control in ad hoc networks. \(K\)-Neigh is a topology control algorithm based on the number of neighbours and neighbours bounded by a specific value \(K\). Nodes do not know their positions; they simply calculate the distance between themselves and their neighbours. This assumes a continuous communication range and defines it until it reaches \(k\)-neighbours. The estimated preferred value of \(k\) that guarantees connectivity of the communication graph with high probability 9. Wattenhofer et al. [9,14], proposed a distributed approach for topology control named CBTC. Here node \(u\) transmits with the minimum power \(P_u\), required to ensure that in every cone of degree \(x\) around \(u\), there is a node that \(u\) can reach with \(P_u\). Network connectivity is preserved by taking \(x = 2 \times \pi/3\).

The need for CBTC arises when using GPS, which does not work in indoor environments. CBTC requires only directional information; it must be possible to estimate the direction from which another node is transmitting. Directional information is found out from directional antennae. CBTC is relay region based, and may have received the most attenuation. Nodes know information about their neighbours based on their relative signal strength and the signal arrival angle. Analysis of a minimum spanning tree (MST)-based topology control algorithm is given [15]. Building of a connected global MST-like topology with only bidirectional links occurs in a localized way. The topology constructed preserves the network connectivity. The
degree of each node is bounded by 6. In LMST-built MST-like topology, the traffic load and the transmission power distributions for each node are greatly unbalanced. As a result, the energy consumption of the node is badly unbalanced so that the network lifetime is limited. A protocol that attempts to determine the CTR for connectivity in a distributed way is shown in [16,17]. Nodes maintain a routing table for each power level and set as the common transmit power, which is the minimum level maintained in all the corresponding routing tables containing all the nodes in the network. Common power protocol requires global knowledge (routing table) and it is affected by considerable messages overhead.

In [18,19], consider the limitations of node hardware and channel characteristics. This protocol needs knowledge about neighbours and provides eligibility metrics to ensure that the selected relaying nodes have a sufficient amount of energy to forward the packets. In DTNs, all nodes can establish a link with each other, creating a mesh topology network, which may not be power efficient. Another problem is that during the operation of the network, some nodes may exhaust their power more rapidly than others, while some may become dysfunctional. A topology control protocol [20–23] deals with all of these dynamics and ensures that the network is connected with power efficient links.

3. Proposed work: SH approach

3.1. Protocol description

Let $V$ be the vertices set and $E$ be the set of edges (communication links). Then the network graph is denoted as $G = (V,E)$. Each node $i \in V$ has its own position coordinates and identity ($id_i$). Edges between two nodes $i$ and $j$ are represented as $i \rightarrow j$ or $j \rightarrow i \in E$ and the distance between them is denoted as $d(i,j)$. Initially, every node broadcasts its possible parameters (node degree, link bandwidth, etc.) to its one-hop neighbour. Upon receiving broadcast messages from other nodes, every node keeps track of its neighbours and their strength, storing the estimated distance for each of them. After all the initial messages have been sent, every node in the network knows its neighbour’s strength. The strength of the neighbour is the time-varying function and it should be maintained throughout the information exchange process. To achieve this, SH (SH) nodes are identified between source and destination pairs.

Given this information, every node computes its neighbour’s parameter list, $S_p$ (source parameters) and broadcasts this information. By exchanging neighbour parameters, SH nodes are able to determine which one is suitable for communication. At the end of the protocol execution, $S_{hp}$ (SH node parameters) contains only eligible neighbours parameters ‘$p$’ in the final topology and ‘$p$’ is set to the minimum value needed to reach the farthest node in $D_p$ (destination parameter). This value can be measured by either the received signal strength or distance, or alternate paths to the farthest node in $G$.

3.2. Properties of SH approach

- Correctness: if all the nodes use the same node degree (if node degree = 2, one forward and reverse link) or with static topology, the wireless domain is symmetric, then the SH approach computes the subgraph with suitable parameters. If the node degree is asymmetric, SH performs localized computation for selection of suitable parameters. To be precise, the SH node computes a subgraph path, where every node is connected to its SH neighbours, or to the maximum possible number of neighbours within the maximum transmitting range.
- Connectivity: under the assumption that nodes are distributed uniformly at random in graph $G$, each node is connected with high probability in $G$ with a SH manner and the topology graph is generated.
- Bounded node parameters: the neighbour parameters of any network node at the end of SH protocol execution are upper-bounded by a factor $N$.
- Complexity: since every node in the network sends unidirectional messages, the total number of SH nodes is the order of $n \log(n_{SH})$, where $n$ is the number of nodes.

3.3. SH algorithm

---

SH node estimation (stable phase):
1: Initialize $G_{SH} \leftarrow G$ // load the initial topology
Case I. SH node Selection: (source)
2: For each $i \in V$ // $i$ is the source
3: $R(i) \leftarrow |L|$ // initialize the parameter list
4: For each $j \in SH(i)$ // find out the SH neighbours of node $i$
5: $SH(i) \leftarrow T_{SH}(i,j)$
   // node support Tree ($T_{SH}$) structure and compute node $i$ and its neighbour SH
Case II. SH to SH neighbour estimation: (Intermediate/Destination)

SH nodes generate a topology with upper bounds on the parameter in the order of $N$. The message overhead needed to build the SH method is smaller than that needed to build the MST-based graph. Given the same mobility pattern, the SH should be reconfigured much more frequently than the MST graph.

3.4. Theoretical analysis

A network is constructed with tree-structured nodes (SH nodes). SH nodes are able to find a subgraph for alternate routing during link or node failure situations. Assume the network topology remains connected and stable for a period of time, the collection of all nodes resulted from the SH protocol forms a tree structure. After the SH node election and tree construction phases, a set of disjoint leaf nodes and SH trees will be created. The nodes in these trees together form a tree set. The neighbouring SH-hops are used to determine the alternate path between one-hop neighbours based on the available parameters. Let a SH node formed by at least node degree $n$. At most $n$ nodes are added with $SH$ node at each stage in subgraph $G$ (alternate routing node set). Suppose $S_{hi}$ is the fusion node and $l$ is the leaf node with following subset in $G$. Let $S_{h1}, S_{h2}, ..., S_{hn}$ and $l_i, l_2, ..., l_k$ be the subset of $G$. If two $l$ nodes follow the same one-hop connection and the edge of $S_{h1}$ and $l$ is removed from the graph $G$, connections are maintained via $S_{h22}$ (during the absence of originating $S_{hi}$ node). Since $G$ be a connected graph and $S_{h22}$ connects both source and other $l$ nodes within the coverage area. Similarly if the network follows $n$-hop connection each node connection is maintained via neighbouring $S_{hi}$ nodes without looping problem. Hence connectivity is maintained.

Consider a SH node able to connect $n$ nodes in $k$-hop. Let $S_{hi}$, $T_{hi}(n_i)$, and $l$ be the hierarchical structure for SH, tree-structured and leaf nodes respectively. If $S_{h1}, S_{h2}, S_{h3}, ..., S_{hn}$ are the number of SH nodes in the network able to connect one-hop $i$ node (source) within the coverage area. The value of addition of the $n$ nodes with $T_{hi}$ set must obey the relation; i.e. $S_{hA} \leq T_{hA} \leq nA$ (one-hop connection), where $A$-is the node parameter. The maximum number of the node connectivity of the $T_{hi}$ system is given by $T_{hi} + S_{hi} \leq n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The connectivity of a network is defined as the probability of the number of one-hop $S_{hi}$ nodes connecting another leaf and $S_{hi}$ node in the graph.

4. Unstable Topology Structure approach (Power Efficient Topology Control—PETC)

Given a network topology of $n$ nodes randomly placed in Euclidian plane, let $V$ be the vertices set and $E$ be the set of edges (communication links). Then the network graph is denoted as $G = (V, E)$. Each node $i \in V$ has its own position coordinates and identity $(id_i)$. Edges between two nodes $i$ and $j$ is represented as $i \rightarrow j$ or $j \rightarrow i \in E$ and distance between them is denoted as $d_{ij}$. The set of neighbours of $i$, with which $i$ is directly connected, are denoted as the set $N(i)$ and defined as $N(i) = [i \leftarrow j] \in Edigraph$. Let $NL(i)$ be the neighbour table list in which the state of each $i$ in $N(i)$ is stored. $NL(i)$ contains the identity, energy reserve, eligibility parameters, and required transmission power to reach each neighbour. Each node has a maximum transmission power of $P_{\text{max}}$ and can assign varying transmission powers corresponding to each neighbouring node. The transmission power from node $i$ to $j$ is denoted as $P_{i-j}$. The residual energy of a node $i$ at time $t$ is denoted as $e_i$. Furthermore, all nodes start with equal initial battery capacity $E$. Communication in the network takes place over a wireless channel in which the transmitted signal is attenuated over distance. For an arbitrary node $i$, the position of a neighbouring node can be expressed in terms of its deviation from the optimal relaying position. The optimal relaying position is the direct line that connects node $i$ with the base station. Taking $i$ as the origin of the coordinate system, the $x$ and $y$ coordinates of node $j$ are ‘$a$’ and ‘$b$’ respectively. Hence, $d_{ij} = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$.

4.1. Network description

The network model describes the weighted two-dimensional planes for any arbitrary node in the network. The weighted region defines the degree of eligibility of a neighbour node to be a relaying node. PETC computation of eligibility criteria is performed by taking only local information into account. Minimization of the overall power cost of a multi-hop communication and reducing the disconnected links that occur due to disproportionate power consumption by individual nodes...
occurs. A transmitting node’s knowledge is limited to its neighbours. Accordingly the efficiency metric ($E_f$) is applied to enable a node to compare and select a neighbour that can participate in building a multi-hop link whose overall power consumption is at a minimum. The eligibility of being a neighbour node $j$ is calculated according to:

$$E_f = d_{ij} \cdot k/d_{ij}^2 + K - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $K$ is knowledge about the neighbour, if $K = 1$, only one hop neighbour information is shared. The metric defined above is a measure of an efficiency of relaying nodes. We also considered the fairness between the nodes. In order to ensure that nodes use their power properly, nodes with relatively low power consumption are selected as relaying nodes. We thus define an eligibility metric ($E_m$), similar to an efficiency metric, which is also applied to a neighbouring node to measure its power usage with respect to other nodes. The eligibility metric is given as:

$$E_m = e_j/E$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In order to compute the nodes with high power levels, this eligibility metric is converted to corresponding power ($P_y$) as:

$$P_y = E_m/t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Combining the efficiency metric and power eligibility metric through common eligibility metric ($m_j$) of a neighbouring node is written as:

$$m_j = E_f/P_y$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

According to this value, each node sets its transmitting power to get the eligible neighbours, and with these neighbours the network connectivity is maintained. This protocol also checks for symmetric links. The detailed description is as follows.

4.2. Algorithm for topology structure

**Phase 1:** Identifying neighbours (for a generic node $i$)

1. $G_i \leftarrow [id_i, (x_i, y_i)]_{p_{max}}$: Node $i$ broadcasting its identity ($id_i$) and location information at maximum power to its neighbours.
2. $G_i \leftarrow [id_j, (x_j, y_j)]$: Node $i$ receives information from $k$-Neighbour nodes and stores it in their neighbour lists, $N(i)$.
3. $d_{ij} = [(x_j - x_i)^2 + (y_j - y_i)^2]^{1/2}$: Node $i$ calculates the distance to each neighbour nodes.
4. Node $i$ calculates $m_p$ for each neighbour in its list.
5. $E_f = (d_{ij} \cdot k)/(d_{ij}^2 + K - 1)$
6. $E_m = e_j/E$
7. $P_y = E_m/t$
8. $m_j = E_f/P_y$
9. Calculate the power required.
10. $P_{th} = (P_y)_{min}$: Calculate the threshold power to correctly receive the message from the received power. Threshold power is the minimum power to reach the nearest neighbours.
11. Node $i$ chooses the $k$-Neighbours in its list $N(i)$ that have the highest value of eligibility metric. If originally node ‘$i$’ has less than $k$-Neighbours, then all nodes are chosen.
12. $0 \leq P_{th} \leq P_{max}$: If there is no neighbour in this, then transmitted at its maximum power itself.

**Phase 2:** Building symmetric links (for a generic node $i$)

1. $E = \{i \rightarrow j\} / \{j \rightarrow i\}$: Node $i$ makes a bidirectional link between itself and its neighbours by checking neighbour list of ‘$i$’s neighbours.
2. If node $i$ is present in their neighbour list then link is established otherwise that link is removed.

PETC follow two phases: (1) neighbour identification phase and (2) symmetric link check. In the first phase, initially each node broadcasts its identity and location information at maximum power to its neighbours and at the same time receives information from neighbouring nodes and stores it in its neighbour list. After identifying the neighbours, each node computes the distance to its neighbour’s Euclidean distance method.

Each node calculates the common eligibility metric by finding the efficiency metric and power eligibility. Calculate the threshold power that to correctly receive the message from the received power. Threshold power is the minimum power needed to reach the nearest neighbours. Each node chooses $k$-Neighbours in its list that have the highest value of node eligibility metric. If node $i$ has less than $k$-Neighbours, then all nodes are chosen. In the second phase each node checks for symmetric links between its neighbours. If a node $i$ has a link to its neighbour $j$, then whether there is any link existing between node $j$ and $i$ is checked. If the link is existing bidirectional then that neighbour node and corresponding link are maintained, otherwise both link and neighbour node are removed from node ‘$i$’ neighbour list and the neighbour list is updated.

5. Performance analysis

To evaluate the protocol performance the following metrics are considered. The UTS uses power as a key parameter; hence in the simulation it is also called PETC.

- Number of active links: each node has links between the other nodes; due to mobility, some of the nodes act as inactive links. Thus it is also considered an important parameter for topology analysis and for the graph between nodes vs. total number of links and the active links.
- Number of loops: the two approaches follow the tree structure throughout the protocol execution, but between nodes loops also formed during the network healing phase, performance analysis is also measured.
- Number of mobile nodes: if the number of mobile nodes increases, the number of active links is reduced. For this purpose mobile nodes are measured per total number of nodes.
- Power: as the node become mobile it uses more power to find its neighbours, hence power per mobile user is accounted as a factor for simulation.
- Packet delivery ratio: this is obtained by dividing the number of data packets correctly received by the destinations by the number of data packets originating from the sources.
- Throughput: it is the average rate of successful message delivery over a communication channel. This data may be delivered over a physical or logical link, or pass through a certain network node. The throughput is usually measured in bits per second (bit/s or bps), and sometimes in data packets per second or data packets per time slot.
- Average end-to-end delay: refers to the time taken for a packet to be transmitted across a network from source to destination. End-to-end delay refers to the time taken for a packet to be transmitted across a network from source to destination. In both static and dynamic the red line indicates the inactive link, and the black line shows that a link is still active and maintains the connectivity of the network.

5.1. Simulation results

All the simulations are conducted using ns – 2 with 100 nodes with a 1000 × 1000 m² deployment area, 0.80 s hello interval and random way point model. Duration of the simulation run is 200 s and pause time interval is 25 s for localized computations. Transmitter range for SH nodes and other nodes are 250 and 200 m, respectively. The transmission power of a SH node is 0.3 W and other nodes use 0.2 W. During the construction of topology, both approaches are applied; initially all the nodes are in black color and at first level iteration the SH nodes are colored by red. The node movements are represented in yellow. The performance of the proposed work is compared with two protocols: COMPOW [7] and CBTC [9].

The K-Neigh [8] is not compared with this approach due to more control overhead requirements in the localized domain. In the simulation, three types of topology constructions are analysed: (i) based on mobility, (ii) active links and (iii) number of loops. PETC and SH both reduce the total number of active links. Compared to SH, PETC reduces more active links, which is power inefficient. Even if the active links are less, PETC maintains the connectivity with efficient links. Fig. 2 shows that, as number of nodes in the topology increases, the number of active links increases in both the protocols and in PETC: because of efficient link selection it reduces the number of active links. The performance of SH and UTS with various mobile nodes is obtained from simulation analysis and algorithms given in Sections 3 and 4. The numerical results for both approaches are shown in Table 1. In PETC, when the number of mobile nodes increases, the number of active links reduces more than that of K-Neigh because of the effective selection of links. If nodes are static it provides more connectivity; however if the nodes become mobile the connectivity reduces. In Fig. 3, the localized structure of 20 nodes is analysed. An optimal connectivity is obtained by moving 11 nodes, but in PETC optimal connectivity is obtained before itself. When the number of mobile nodes increases the number of loops is reduced.

This is one of the major findings of the proposed work. In PETC the number of loops is less than that of SH. This shows that the probability of selection of a particular link is higher in PETC when compared to SH. Hence the looping problem is lower in PETC. Optimal connectivity is also obtained with the minimum number of mobile nodes. The results for loops vs. number of mobile nodes are given in Fig. 4. Fig. 5 shows the power reserve variations in the mobile nodes. As the node becomes mobile it uses more power to find its neighbours, so its power level is reduced. Because of this, in SH the power reserve reduces more than that of PETC.

Fig. 6 shows the delay performance of the proposed work. The delay of PETC reaches a maximum value of 580 ms for 100 nodes and SH offers a very low delay of 290 ms for the same network size. The other two approaches produce a delay between 380 and 570 ms. A series of simulation experiments for the ad hoc network were conducted using the parameters outlined in the performance metrics. PETC is always selecting the path with the node with a high power reserve. As the number of nodes in a topology increases, the average end-to-end delay also increases. In PETC the delay is less than that of SH, because in SH the number of loops is bigger and contains more active links. Thus the selection of the path will take more time than that of PETC: this process is shown in Fig. 7. The packet delivery ratio defines the measure of the number of packets delivered at the receiver. PETC delivers most of the packets through power efficient links to the destination whereas with SH the packets received at the destination are comparatively less than that of PETC, because it will not consider the efficiency of the links.
The delay and throughput curves give the system performance and capacity. This analysis is shown in Fig. 7. From the results proposed the approach is comparable with the existing methods. For both PETC and SH, throughput is comparatively the same up to a certain time. After links go down because of ineligibility in SH the connectivity is lost, but in PETC the connectivity is maintained through efficient paths. The throughput of both approaches is shown in Fig. 7. It takes the value between 0.1 and 1.4 Mbps; PETC maintains a constant value due to stable power variation, and for the SH methods throughput reduces for the entire simulation time due to the selection of the one-hop neighbour for packet exchange.

The impact of node degree requirements of SH and PETC obtained from active links and loop as a function of mobile nodes are given in Table 2. For this purpose, the average node size of 15 is used. Node degree requirement for various mobile nodes is shown in Fig. 8. In the figure, x-axis shows mobile nodes and y-axis shows the ratio of active links—active loop and the total number of nodes. The red line indicates the requirement of UTS and the blue indicates the percentage of node degree for SH. Table 2 is used to compute the node degree for different network size.

Table 1
Mobile node analysis in localized structure (max. of 20 mobile nodes).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of nodes</th>
<th>Total number of links</th>
<th>Number of mobile nodes</th>
<th>Number of active links</th>
<th>Number of loops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>PETC</td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Network size vs. active links (stable analysis).

Table 2
Mobile node analysis in localized structure (max. of 20 mobile nodes).

The delay and throughput curves give the system performance and capacity. This analysis is shown in Fig. 7. From the results proposed the approach is comparable with the existing methods. For both PETC and SH, throughput is comparatively the same up to a certain time. After links go down because of ineligibility in SH the connectivity is lost, but in PETC the connectivity is maintained through efficient paths. The throughput of both approaches is shown in Fig. 7. It takes the value between 0.1 and 1.4 Mbps; PETC maintains a constant value due to stable power variation, and for the SH methods throughput reduces for the entire simulation time due to the selection of the one-hop neighbour for packet exchange.

The impact of node degree requirements of SH and PETC obtained from active links and loop as a function of mobile nodes are given in Table 2. For this purpose, the average node size of 15 is used. Node degree requirement for various mobile nodes is shown in Fig. 8. In the figure, x-axis shows mobile nodes and y-axis shows the ratio of active links—active loop and the total number of nodes. The red line indicates the requirement of UTS and the blue indicates the percentage of node degree for SH. Table 2 is used to compute the node degree for different network size.

The delay and throughput curves give the system performance and capacity. This analysis is shown in Fig. 7. From the results proposed the approach is comparable with the existing methods. For both PETC and SH, throughput is comparatively the same up to a certain time. After links go down because of ineligibility in SH the connectivity is lost, but in PETC the connectivity is maintained through efficient paths. The throughput of both approaches is shown in Fig. 7. It takes the value between 0.1 and 1.4 Mbps; PETC maintains a constant value due to stable power variation, and for the SH methods throughput reduces for the entire simulation time due to the selection of the one-hop neighbour for packet exchange.

The impact of node degree requirements of SH and PETC obtained from active links and loop as a function of mobile nodes are given in Table 2. For this purpose, the average node size of 15 is used. Node degree requirement for various mobile nodes is shown in Fig. 8. In the figure, x-axis shows mobile nodes and y-axis shows the ratio of active links—active loop and the total number of nodes. The red line indicates the requirement of UTS and the blue indicates the percentage of node degree for SH. Table 2 is used to compute the node degree for different network size.

1 For interpretation of color in Fig. 8, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.
Topology structure node degree = (Active Link – Active Loop)/Total nodes. From the result, it is found that 20–90% node degree is required for subsequent structure constructions for mobile nodes. For example (consider the values from Table 2), for mobile node 5, SH active links = 36, loops = 28 and node degree ratio = 36–28/15 = 0.53. From this analysis, number of nodes increases (from 1 to 4) in the localized structure, UTS requires a constant node degree of 80%, and SH needs a gradual increase in the node degree. Since the mobility increases, virtual node density also increases, and a small number of nodes.

Fig. 3. Connectivity for various mobile networks (localized structure analysis).

Fig. 4. Number of loop reduces as mobile node increases (localized structure analysis).

Fig. 5. Power variations during the simulation.
Fig. 6. Average end-to-end delay vs. network size.

Fig. 7. Throughput during the simulation.

Table 2
Node degree requirements SH and UTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of nodes</th>
<th>Total number of links</th>
<th>Number of mobile nodes</th>
<th>Number of active links</th>
<th>Number of loops</th>
<th>Percentage of node degree ratio for SH construction</th>
<th>Percentage of node degree ratio for UTS construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SH UTS</td>
<td>SH UTS</td>
<td>Active link – active loop/total number nodes</td>
<td>Active link – active loop/total number nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15 17</td>
<td>12 7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18 17</td>
<td>14 7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 16</td>
<td>14 5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 16</td>
<td>14 3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36 16</td>
<td>28 3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44 16</td>
<td>34 3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54 14</td>
<td>43 0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14 14</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 14</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Node degree requirement of SH and UTS.
dominates the entire network. From this figure the proposed work has been shown to effectively construct the topology structure even increase in the mobile nodes.

6. Conclusion

In this work, the minimum power and node degree requirement for each node to transmit information to one-hop neighbour is calculated. This process increases the network lifetime and minimizes the number of hops required for transmission. Simulation results show that the SH approach requires a higher number of hops when compared to PETC. Most of the topology control protocols do not take node mobility into account. This is due to the adaptive nature of the topology, which can reduce the connectivity of the network, especially if nodes are moving away from each other. Our protocol is adaptive to changes, guarantees full connectivity with minimum node degree, supports efficient routing, and is more power efficient when considering fixed range transmission. This work addresses connectivity issues in a mobile topology environment with SH and power efficient approaches. This process reduces the management and location tracking process is easy in personal communication networks.
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